

Presidential Guidance: The role of the Monitoring Officer in APW proceedings

This guidance is not legally binding and is provided to assist monitoring officers, the parties, relevant authorities and their members, and the wider public to understand the role of the monitoring officer within Adjudication Panel for Wales ("APW") proceedings. Nothing within this guidance constitutes legal advice and monitoring officers are reminded that this guidance does not supersede their duties, the requirements of the Code of Conduct for Employees or professional obligations.

The position of the monitoring officer

 The monitoring officer of a relevant authority whose Code of Conduct is at the centre of APW proceedings is not a party to the proceedings, but is present to assist and inform the tribunal. They are notified of the proceedings and the hearing date, and receive copies of the listing directions and final decision. The monitoring officer normally adopts a neutral role.

Attendance at the final hearing

- 2. The monitoring officer is invited to attend the final hearing (or to send a deputy) to assist the tribunal and to make an appropriate observation or comment if they so wish at each stage of the proceedings. This is an opportunity for the monitoring officer to clarify any procedural points regarding the business of the relevant authority or to provide factual information to the tribunal in relation to any evidence already before it. It is open to the officer to make no comment.
- 3. The tribunal's invitation to speak at the oral hearing is not an opportunity for the monitoring officer to adduce new evidence not previously disclosed; any evidence which they wish to provide should generally be provided either direct to the Registrar when directed by the tribunal or to the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales ("PSOW") for his consideration (see the disclosure section below).
- 4. The monitoring officer may ultimately be asked to provide or arrange further training to the councillor or to action matters relating to the exercise of the authority's functions, the authority's Code, or the authority's standards committee if so recommended by the tribunal. Their attendance at the hearing will also enable the monitoring officer to give a detailed report to the standards committee and Council and to deal with any press enquiries as appropriate.

<u>Information required from the monitoring officer</u>

- 5. Routine enquiries that may be made of the monitoring officer by either the PSOW or the tribunal through its directions or correspondence through the Registrar include confirmation as to when the councillor agreed to be bound by the Code, when the councillor received training on the Code or if the councillor is also a member of another relevant authority, such as a town or community council or national park authority. They will also be asked to confirm the dates of full council meetings or relevant council business that might affect the listing of the hearing, and their personal unavailability dates.
- 6. The Registrar of the APW will ask the monitoring officer to confirm if there have been any previous adverse findings made by a standards committee regarding a breach of the Code by the councillor; this information will not be disclosed to the tribunal unless it reaches the sanctions stage of the proceedings. At this stage, the clerk will provide this information to the tribunal but the monitoring officer will be given an opportunity to comment, amplify or update the information supplied orally at the hearing.

Disclosure

- 7. Generally, monitoring officers are not expected to take an active part in APW proceedings. Prior to proceedings, the PSOW is likely to have collected relevant evidence from the relevant authority, including from the monitoring officer, and this evidence will either be exhibited to the PSOW's final report or set out in an unused material schedule provided with the report.
- 8. However, it is possible that the monitoring officer may hold relevant evidence that has not been disclosed to the PSOW or is approached by the councillor or his representatives to disclose evidence. Monitoring officers should not "descend into the arena" and are expected to remain neutral in accordance with the requirements of their role. It is appropriate for a monitoring officer to correct a factual mistake made by a witness (as part of their role outlined above to provide factual information to the tribunal in relation to any evidence already before it), but they should not adopt a position about the decision to be made by the tribunal. Equally, it is appreciated that the monitoring officer may need to be a witness in their own right if they witnessed a disputed event or made the initial complaint (for example on behalf of junior officers); this is not regarded as outside their neutral role provided the evidence only deals with factual matters.
- 9. Monitoring Officers are reminded that if they carried out the investigation (as opposed to the PSOW), Regulation 5 of Local Government Investigations (Functions of Monitoring Officers and Standards Committees) (Wales) Regulations 2001 ("the Regulations") will apply, and the APW is not listed as an entity that can lawfully be a direct recipient of information obtained by the monitoring officer when conducting the investigation, unlike the PSOW. The APW does have the power to require evidence from any person through directions and orders under Regulation 7, including information gathered by the monitoring officer under Regulation 5.

- 10. The standard direction given to monitoring officers in correspondence from the Registrar is that any evidence which they wish to provide should generally be provided either direct to the Registrar when directed by the tribunal or to the PSOW for his consideration. This addresses any concerns that may be raised by either the regulations or data protection legislation in the overwhelming majority of cases about the disclosure of documents by the monitoring officer.
- 11. Once APW proceedings are underway, it is the tribunal which decides what evidence is within the hearing bundle (subject to applications by the parties where relevant). If a monitoring officer is concerned that they hold <u>relevant</u> evidence which has not been previously disclosed to the PSOW and APW proceedings have commenced, they should either consider making an application to the tribunal seeking directions on their own initiative to enable disclosure to the PSOW, the councillor/councillor's representatives and the tribunal, or disclose the evidence to the PSOW (who has undertaken to ensure the councillor then receives such evidence). Disclosure applications to the tribunal should be made at the earliest possible opportunity to avoid delay to the final hearing.
- 12. If a monitoring officer is requested to keep a request for disclosure confidential by one of the parties, it is a matter for their professional judgment whether to agree, but the APW expects that disclosure should not be made outside of its directions (whether through the direction set out in its standard letter to monitoring officers or case-specific directions made by the tribunal) or this guidance once its proceedings have commenced. This is to ensure a fair hearing once the APW proceedings are underway and to enable both parties to receive disclosure.

Claire Sharp

Llywydd, Panel Dyfarnu Cymru/ President, Adjudication Panel for Wales September 2020